



# **Examining Individuals' Understanding of Gender and Politics:**Interview Project

Created by Drs. Meagan M. Patterson and Nicole Perry (University of Kansas) for <u>Monograph</u> <u>Matters</u>, a companion resource to the <u>Monographs</u> of the Society for Research in Child Development

Contact: meaganpatterson@ku.edu

## **Project Description:**

These materials are designed to accompany the SRCD monograph *Toward A Developmental Science of Politics*. This project allows students to build on their learning from reading the monograph by reflecting on key findings, collecting interview data, and analyzing data using a coding system similar to one used in the monograph research project. This interview project could be used for courses in psychology, sociology, political science, or gender studies.

For additional materials related to the monograph, visit <a href="https://monographmatters.srcd.org/">https://monographmatters.srcd.org/</a>

## **Project Objectives:**

This project explores the ways that people think and learn about politics. From this project, students should be able to:

- Understand the question of "how do we learn about gender and politics?" as an openended inquiry that we don't know the exact answer to. Scholars are currently trying to understand this topic better, and this monograph is one example.
- Understand ways in which scholars might collect and analyze data relevant to knowledge and attitudes regarding gender and politics.
- Identify ways that messages about gender and politics (from sources such as schools, media, and parents) might justify or undermine the status quo. In other words, students should gain some initial understanding of the power dynamics embedded in how we educate young people about gender, sexism, and politics and the implications this has for people's understanding of and engagement with politics.

## **Discussion Questions:**

- 1. What were the main results of this study related to gender and politics? Did these results surprise you?
- 2. What do you remember about the 2016 election? How did you or other people you know react to the election outcome?
- 3. Do you remember any messages or events from the 2016 election that specifically related to gender?

# **SCHEDULE**

- Day 1: Discussion of monograph (reserve last 5-10 minutes of class to go over how conduct interviews)
- Homework before Day 2: Students conduct interviews as homework
- Day 2: Analysis and discussion of interview findings
  - Focus of this class should be on discussing the interviews & comparing answers across students
  - o Students should fill out the "interview analysis worksheet" during class time
  - For "coding the interview" section of worksheet:
    - Explain that the purpose of coding interviews is to look for broad patterns across multiple interviews. Sometimes it is challenging to boil a complex statement down to a simple "positive" or "neutral" category, but working through that should show students the complexities of doing qualitative research.
    - Optional activity for instructors: After you collect the worksheets, you could enter them into a spreadsheet & tally the responses. Reporting back to the students on Day 4 (or after the student presentations) about the overall results from the class could show them the power of looking at responses holistically and prompt a good discussion about possible explanations for patterns you see across interviews.
- **Day 3**: Discussion / prep for presentations
  - Start discussion to help students make connections between their interviews & the overall research questions
    - Framing question for the unit: What do we know about how people learn about gender and politics based on interviews?
    - How do these answers compare to what children said in the Patterson et al. study?
- **Days 4 & 5:** Student presentations

# **INTERVIEW GUIDE**

# **General directions**

Identify someone that is willing to be interviewed (a roommate, a friend, etc.). Ideally, this person should be between 18-24 years old.

Some things to remember while interviewing:

- 1. Your goal is to understand the person's experiences. Even if the person you are interviewing is a friend, refrain from expressing your opinion during the interview.
- 2. Jot down a few quotes underneath each question as the person is talking. Immediately after the interview, write down any additional notes under each question while it is still fresh in your mind.
- 3. If you want to record the interview, ask for permission before the interview starts.
- 4. Hand in this Interview Guide with your notes.

# **Interview guide**

Introduction script: "For this interview project, we're interested in understanding how people think about gender and politics. I have a few questions for you, and the whole conversation should take around 30 minutes. If you want to stop the interview at any point, just let me know. Ready to get started?"

## Part 1: Information about you

- a. Your age:
- b. Where you are from:
- c. What gender category do you identify with?
- d. What racial/ethnic category or categories do you identify with?

Part 2: "First, I'd like to ask you some general questions about your experience learning about gender during your childhood."

#### a. School:

- i. Do you remember learning about gender or sexism in school?
  - 1. What do you remember learning?
  - 2. How did you feel about what you were learning?

#### b. Interactions:

- i. Are there any particular interactions or events that stuck out to you as being relevant to your understanding of gender while you were growing up? For example, do you remember a particular conversation, movie or television show, or news event that was important for your understanding of gender or sexism?
  - 1. As a child, what did you interpret this event to mean?

### c. Other:

- i. Besides school and your interactions with other people, were there any other sources of information that you think you used to inform your understanding of gender or sexism as you were growing up?
  - 1. What did you learn from these sources?

Part 3: "Next, I'd like to ask you a few questions about the 2016 election."

- a. What do you remember about this election?
- b. Do you remember how people around you felt about this election?
- c. Do you remember anything about this election related to gender or sexism?

Part 4: "Finally, I want to ask you a few questions about attitudes about gender relations."

- a. Can you name any historical figures that were important in women's political fight for civil rights in the U.S. or other countries, and if so, how did you learn about them?
- b. At the time of the 2016 election, a lot of people thought that having a woman as a major party candidate for president was a great move forward for women in politics. Do you think this has been true?
- c. Do you think the 2016 election influenced your view of gender relations in the U.S.? How so?

# **INTERVIEW ANALYSIS WORKSHEET**

|                       | Step 1: Interview basics |  |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|--|
|                       | Answer all known fields  |  |
| Date of interview     |                          |  |
| Location of interview |                          |  |
| Length of interview   |                          |  |
| Interview subject     |                          |  |
| Race                  |                          |  |
| Gender                |                          |  |
| Age                   |                          |  |
| Where they are from   |                          |  |

| Step 2: Code the interview  |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|
| Individual vs. Societal-level understanding of sexism   |  |  |  |
| Did the person report that they learned more about individual (i.e., individual people are sexist) or societal (i.e., society is set up to perpetuate gender inequalities) level understandings of sexism as they were growing up? (Circle one) | Individual level understanding  Societal-level understanding |  |  |
| Example of individual-level understanding (if applicable):  |  |  |  |
| Example of societal-level understanding (if applicable):  |  |  |  |

| Reaction to Trump's election  |                               |  |  |
|---|-------------------------------|--|--|
| Did the person report that people around them primarily had a positive, neutral, or negative reaction to Trump's election to the presidency in 2016? (Circle one) | Positive / Neutral / Negative |  |  |
| Examples of reactions   |                               |  |  |

| Step 3: Contextualize the interview  |  |  |
|--|--|--|
| What factors might be impacting how the person you interviewed answered the questions?   |  |  |
| Which answers from the interview are most likely to be reliable, and which ones have the highest potential for inaccuracies? Explain your reasoning.   |  |  |
| What contextual information should be noted about you, the researcher (i.e., your race, age, gender, familial and social background, etc.)? How might that influence how the interview was conducted or how you interpreted the interview answers? |  |  |

| Step 4: Using the source  |  |  |
|---|--|--|
| What is one statement that can be made using the interview as evidence?   |  |  |
| What statements <u>cannot</u> be made using only this interview as evidence? Think about the limitations of the interview data and comment about what would be an inappropriate claim to make based on the amount of evidence you have. |  |  |
| What do you still not know, and where can you find that information?  |  |  |